

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,354	FUHRER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edwin A. Young	3681	

All Participants:

Status of Application: New Application

(1) Edwin A. Young.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott Daniels.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 September 2006

Time: 10:50

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's ammendment to the specification (pg. 2, paragraph [012]) and claim 3, lines 1-2 was discussed. Wherein it was agreed upon to delete the repetition phrase "for the reverse gear" from pg. 2, paragraph [012] and ammend claim 3, lines 1-2 to read, --an output shaft (2) which are coaxial and with at least one--.